Gang Mapping: An Analyst’s Adventure

by Joe Ryan, Director,
Police Foundation Crime Mapping and Problem Analysis Laboratory

According to the Federal Bureau of Investigations, a gang is defined as “a criminal enterprise having an organizational structure, acting as a continuing criminal conspiracy, which employs violence and any other criminal activity to sustain the enterprise.” According to the 2002 National Youth Gang Survey, “it is estimated that approximately 731,500 gang members and 21,500 gangs were active in the United States in 2002,” and “655 of the 1,300 homicides in Chicago and Los Angeles were gang-related.” Forty-two percent of the survey respondents indicated that their youth gang problem was “getting worse.”

In my work with the Washington/Baltimore High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA), mapping and analysis were used to target drug trafficking organizations (DTOs). While some DTOs fit the definition of a gang, other DTOs simply utilize gangs to perform street-level drug dealing, intimidation, and other criminal activities. When fielding requests for maps of gang-related information, it became apparent to me that much of the data did not exist. We also realized that, in addition to using non-traditional sources of data, there were several other factors to be considered when creating gang maps for analysis. These factors included defining terms, selecting a scale, exploring cross-jurisdictional data sharing possibilities, and identifying potential confidentiality issues.

A case in point involved a conversation I had with an investigator—we’ll call Frank—from a federal law enforcement agency who had requested a map of all gang territories in a large, metropolitan city. When I asked Frank for the data to create the map, I received a blank stare. I explained the one major limitation of geographic information systems (GIS) is the need for data to create the actual maps. After further explanation, Frank asked for a blank, poster size map of the city in question with the streets, districts, and beats labeled. He stated that he would be back in two weeks with the data that I needed. After three weeks, Frank returned and unrolled the now-wrinkled, coffee-stained map that I had printed for him earlier, but it was no longer blank. Polygons were drawn all over the map, each with a label. I will never forget what Frank said next. It was something that, as a crime analyst, I had never thought about before. He said, “Joe, I went out and got in the heads of the officers. I visited each district and talked with the officers that are out on the streets everyday. I had them draw these polygons to show me where these gangs were located and I also learned about each of the
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The Use of Mapping in Analyzing Gang Activity in Fairfax County, VA
by Daphe Saywer, Crime Analyst
Fairfax County Police Department

Introduction
Over recent months, criminal gang activity has become a heated topic of discussion in local news. High-profile cases involving gangs and violence have fueled the flame, causing community leaders to ask the question: what can be done to abate the problem? Law enforcement is relied upon by the community to take charge and work to eliminate the problem. While there are numerous organized gangs in this area, the largest and most widely publicized is Mara Salvatrucha. The mostly Salvadoran gang has been at the forefront of many of the recent criminal cases involving gang members. These cases include malicious woundings—one in which a rival gang member’s hands were mutilated with a machete while he was defending himself—robberies, narcotics sales, and homicides. Area law enforcement agencies are working hard to stop the violence and solve the problem of gang activity. Crime mapping and analysis is one of the many tools law enforcement can utilize to reduce gang-related criminal activity in our area.

Mara Salvatrucha Origins
Mara Salvatrucha is a Salvadoran gang that has continually increased in number and in notoriety in the Northern Virginia/Metropolitan D.C. area over recent years. Mara Salvatrucha (MS-13) has created a reputation for their propensity to use violence and engage in criminal activity in this area and across the nation. The origins of the gang can be traced to Salvadoran refugees, many of whom were illegal immigrants who fled to California between 1984 and 1992. Many of the juvenile immigrants were attracted to the previously established Latino gangs, particularly the 18th Street Gang in the Rampart section of Los Angeles. These juveniles were widely accepted by the gangs because of the combat experience they had received during the civil war in El Salvador. Soon, differences arose and the Salvadorean broke from the pre-existing gangs to begin forming Mara Salvatrucha cliques on their own. Since that time, their ranks have increased greatly and spread to many regions of the United States. At present, it is estimated that MS-13 has over 15,000 members and associates in at least 115 different cliques in 28 states, and these numbers are continually increasing.

MS-13 Migration to Northern Virginia
The MS-13 presence in the Northern Virginia area began in 1993 when three members of Mara Salvatrucha traveled from Los Angeles to begin a new sect of the gang. These three MS-13 members had the goal of uniting all Latino gangs in Northern Virginia, over 20 separate gangs at that time. Originally, one had to be either a Salvadoran national or of Salvadoran descent to become a member of Mara Salvatrucha. Now, one need only be Latino and speak Spanish to become a member of MS-13. Over the years, Mara Salvatrucha has become the dominant Latino gang in Northern Virginia through recruitment and the absorption of many smaller Latino gangs in the area. However, rival Latino gangs still exist in Northern Virginia, including the South Side Locos (SSL), which is the second largest Latino gang in Northern Virginia. As for the three original gang pioneers, two were killed by rivals and the other’s location is currently unknown.

Regional Gang Task Force
A Regional Gang Task Force has been established in Northern Virginia for the purpose of networking and combating the gang issue. The task force focuses on MS-13, as well as other gangs in this area. The task force is federally funded and any law enforcement agency in Virginia can become a member. Currently, there are 22 participating agencies. The task force allows law enforcement agents to cross jurisdictional boundaries to conduct investigations and make arrests. The task force also encourages the sharing of
information among agencies and gives smaller agencies the assistance of detectives from other agencies when needed. The Fairfax County Police Department currently has a gang unit of eight detectives who actively participate in Regional Gang Task Force activity.

Gang Initiative, Crime Analysis, and Crime Mapping: The Present

I, as well as other analysts, have assisted the Fairfax County Police Gang Unit in various projects over the past few years. The majority of the projects and maps created have been for either tactical or administrative purposes. Tactical mapping has included the tracking of various gang member activities across the country as well as crime series analyses. In multiple crime series analyses we have included components such as predictive analysis and link analysis. These aspects of analysis serve to provide investigators with next-hit probability, as well as a visual representation of the spatial layout of the involved gang members and their associates. In addition, orthophotography and pictometry technology has been an invaluable tool for surveillance and tactical purposes. Many of the projects have been for administrative and educational purposes. Mostly mapping projects, these display various areas and the MS-13 presence or migration. Examples include a map of the counties and cities in selected regions and states that have a known MS-13 presence, as well as a nationwide map depicting the states with known MS presence and the corresponding number of cliques in existence. Maps showing countywide gang graffiti incidents and the spatial relationship with schools that have a possible gang problem have also been used for educational as well as tactical and administrative purposes.


The future possibilities for crime mapping in general are virtually endless, including in the arena of gang-related problems. Spatial analysis and mapping provide very useful tools for policing in relation to gang activity. Because of the organized and group nature of gangs, the influx of intelligence data is ever increasing. Gang intelligence information is continually gathered by law enforcement, and databases quickly become filled with enormous amounts of data. These data are always useful in any format but
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Using Prison Gang Intelligence from the Inside-Out
by Melissa R. Johnson, C.C.A
New Jersey Department of Corrections

Background
The type of gang activity between members who are incarcerated compared to members in the community may be similar; however few states have coordinated efforts between police and corrections to share such information. Law enforcement intelligence suggests that gang leaders in prison delegate responsibility to members on the street and this interaction empowers gangs to prosper despite the incarceration of those in leadership positions. The New Jersey Department of Corrections (NJDOC) has worked over the last ten years to hinder this process while combating organized, violent activity within the prison system. In 1997, after several attacks on staff and inmates, an initiative began to identify and monitor gang-affiliated inmates.

Since that time, seven gangs have been classified as Security Threat Groups. These groups include the Almighty Latin King and Queen Nation, Bloods, Crips, East Coast Aryan Brotherhood, Five-Percent Nation, Neta, and Prison Brotherhood of Bikers.

The department realizes that gang activity is a cyclical phenomenon that increases from the “inside-out.” Inmates who are not gang members before incarceration are seeking protection or acceptance from other inmates and often join a gang as a solution. Gang members identified when entering the institutions may not be as active on the streets as in prison for this same reason.

Over the years, the percentage of established inmates versus new inmates who are gang members has shifted. In the early 1990s, NJDOC reported a higher percentage of identified incarcerated gang members than those identified upon entering the prison system. In 2003, this trend shifted to a higher percentage of identifications of incoming inmates compared to established inmates. This change reflects increasing gang activity in the community and results in a growing gang presence within the prison.

With over 8,000 gang members identified and half of this total currently incarcerated, the NJDOC Intelligence Section has made gang management within the prison and disseminating gang intelligence outside the facilities its main priorities. This article highlights the New Jersey Department of Corrections gang intelligence process.

Collecting Intelligence
The first phase of the New Jersey Department of Corrections intelligence process is collection. To keep abreast of changing gang activity codes and crimes, the department reviews correspondence and research highlighting gang organizations, rank structure, codes, affiliation, and membership information. In addition to generic intelligence, there are several agencies with established gang identification databases that share affiliation data to assist the department in identifying incoming state prison inmates. This additional intelligence is one of several defining identification criteria used when an inmate comes into prison.

Identification Process
The second step of the process is identifying inmates who are gang affiliated when they meet two of the following eight criteria:

1. Self admission
2. Gang-related tattoo
3. Possession of gang-related paraphernalia
4. Information from outside agency
5. Information from NJDOC Special Investigations Division investigation
6. Correspondence from other inmates /persons
7. Group /gang photo
8. Other

Disseminating Intelligence
The third phase of the intelligence process is disseminating intelligence to department personnel and outside law enforcement agencies. Collecting and identifying information is beneficial if used as a resource for intelligent policing or enforcement. The Intelligence Section has promoted four initiatives that support and facilitate our
The last phase of the intelligence process for the New Jersey Department of Corrections, New Aggressive Supervised Parole, is a collaborative effort of gang issues. This program, Gang Reduction and Aggressive Supervised Parole, is monitored closely by a parole officer who is knowledgeable in inmate on parole is assigned to a special caseload to be monitored. Requests include general affiliation information, such as race, gender, or affiliations. The collection phase benefit from intelligence disseminated across the nation regularly contact the department for inquiries about intelligence. Law enforcement agencies from across the nation regularly contact the department for identification data. Agencies submitting information during the collection phase benefit from intelligence disseminated. Routine requests include general affiliation information, AKA name look-ups, suspect identifications, and rank structure requests.

The fourth information-sharing program focuses on inmates who are released on parole. Every identified gang inmate on parole is assigned to a special caseload to be monitored closely by a parole officer who is knowledgeable of gang issues. This program, Gang Reduction and Aggressive Supervised Parole, is a collaborative effort between the New Jersey Department of Corrections, New Jersey State Police, and the New Jersey State Parole Board.

Intelligence Training

The last phase of the intelligence process for the New Jersey Department of Corrections indicates that most gang inmates serve five years or less of their sentences before returning to the community. As of June 2004, 4,041 identified, gang-affiliated inmates were released. In order to serve outside agencies more efficiently, identification lists are generated by geographic region including town, city, or county. Inmates will be included in these lists if they have a last known address or emergency contact.

A review of gang member sentences within the New Jersey Department of Corrections indicates that most gang inmates serve five years or less of their sentences before returning to the community. As of June 2004, 4,041 identified, gang-affiliated inmates were released. In order to serve outside agencies more efficiently, identification lists are generated by geographic region including town, city, or county. Inmates will be included in these lists if they have a last known address or emergency contact. New Jersey has at least a presence of gang activity. When the department is asked for assistance, the NJDOC intelligence database indicates that 87% of the towns in New Jersey have an identified gang inmate from or affiliated with the town. This statistic indicates that most of New Jersey has at least a presence of gang activity.

The next initiative is the availability of Ad-Hoc Inquiries for intelligence. Law enforcement agencies from across the nation regularly contact the department for identification data. Agencies submitting information during the collection phase benefit from intelligence disseminated. Routine requests include general affiliation information, AKA name look-ups, suspect identifications, and rank structure requests.

The fourth information-sharing program focuses on inmates who are released on parole. Every identified gang inmate on parole is assigned to a special caseload to be monitored closely by a parole officer who is knowledgeable of gang issues. This program, Gang Reduction and Aggressive Supervised Parole, is a collaborative effort between the New Jersey Department of Corrections, New Jersey State Police, and the New Jersey State Parole Board.

Intelligence Training

The last phase of the intelligence process for the NJDOC highlights the training component. In addition to requests for information, outside agencies have looked to the department for guidance in prison gang management, implementing a gang intelligence process, and identifying gang activity. Such requests for training have come from a variety of agencies, including police academies, county jail authorities, and officials from other states.

Several other states have visited the department’s gang-management unit in order to assess if the program will work in their institutions. Special Investigations and the Security Threat Group Management Unit (STGMU) staff train other states about how the unit works and the success of reducing gang activity. The program helps inmates avoid or renounce gang activity through behavior modification, education, and treatment programming. Outside agencies that visit STGMU often implement similar programs.

In addition to demonstrating the benefits of the gang-management unit to outside agencies, the Intelligence Section also is asked for assistance when other agencies wish to implement an gang member identification intelligence database. Since 1994, the section has maintained an intelligence database with over 8,000 identification records and 15,000 AKA names for over 250 gangs. This database follows all federal intelligence database guidelines. The final initiative of the Intelligence Section is our ability to display identification information in conjunction with raw data collected in the field. Several agencies in New Jersey have asked for assistance in identifying whether a gang presence exists within their jurisdiction. An analysis of the NJDOC intelligence database indicates that 87% of the towns in New Jersey have an identified gang inmate from or affiliated with the town. This statistic indicates that most of New Jersey has at least a presence of gang activity.

When the department is asked for assistance, the first step is identifying gang members who have a last known address or emergency contact. The Intelligence Section utilizes geographic information systems to display address information of the inmate identifications, as illustrated in Figure 3.

The next step of this process involves our investigators who work with the agencies to identify and highlight gang graffiti and activity within their area. By capturing pictures of the local establishments, tagging, and events, investigators can accurately display where gang activity is occurring and to what extent a problem may exist.

The last step combines the initial identification information and the intelligence collected in the field. A summary presentation and report is compiled. The combined information gives a representative picture of where
displaying the data spatially can often reveal images of causal relationships and other useful information that might otherwise be overlooked. The use of GIS on a permanent and ongoing basis for all gang-related information will provide the ability to visualize the massive amount of data in a spatial format in order to better demonstrate the problem areas and to reveal relative pieces of data that, left in basic text format, might be disregarded.

These newly visualized data assist police planning and procedure by locating and targeting highly concentrated areas for resource allocation and police tactical and strategic preparation. Mapping can also aid in determining if the efforts of law enforcement have succeeded in thwarting the growing gang problem or by showing if gang members have been spatially displaced by the increase in police presence and/or initiatives.

While MS-13 has traditionally been exclusively Salvadoran, many cliques now admit members from Belize, Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Mexico—in fact, any Spanish-speaking Latino. The use of spatial demographics can be useful in determining potential locations for displacement and possible target areas for crime, particularly if a rival gang is of a different demographic. Visualizing the spatial layout of the demographic make-up of the area can also be a tool in community policing by involving the community in the reduction of crime and gang affiliation. Gang participation and violence are community problems that require the assistance of the community, so it is important to identify the institutions, community centers, schools and religious organizations that could be useful allies in the fight to reduce gang activity and violence.

The Fairfax County Police Department’s Crime Analysis Unit has recently developed an intranet site designed to provide timely and accurate crime analysis information to the officers, detectives and commanders in the department. The site—SAM-INFO (Strategic Analysis & Management Information Network for Operations)—offers periodic reports by station and unit level as well as crime trend and series analysis, demographic information, and more. Currently, the maps provided on SAM-INFO are static maps but efforts to create an interactive mapping component are in development and will provide our officers and detectives with more options for gang-related mapping. One improvement will be the accessibility of hot links showing gang members, their distinguishing tattoos, and previous gang graffiti at specified locations. Additional future endeavors may include Virtual Reality Modeling Language,
providing a more dynamic view of the crime and quality of life issues surrounding the gang territories. When necessary, geographic profiling for crime series analysis will also be employed by trained analysts.

There are an immeasurable number of projects and ways for GIS and crime analysis to assist ongoing criminal and violent gang issues. There is also a pressing need among law enforcement agencies to acquire and perform crime analysis and mapping, but resources are often limited. These limitations can and hopefully will be reduced in the future as community and political attention becomes more focused on the gang problems in our area.

Figure 3. A map showing the journey and networking of an MS-13 gang member across the United States.

This article and these images were authorized by Daphe Sawyer, crime analyst for the Fairfax County Police Department. She can be contacted via e-mail at Daphne.Sawyer@fairfaxcounty.gov
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Figure 3. This map depicts the affiliation of identified gang members who have been incarcerated in the NJ Department of Corrections system and lived in the area. The call-out box is an example of the information to highlight where an individual identified inmates live, where they may visit, and where gang-related materials are being found within a region. The total picture promotes intelligence analysis, crime mapping, and information sharing in a collaborative environment.

**Conclusion**

The State of New Jersey, like the entire nation, is realizing the extent of the gang epidemic. For too long, police and corrections withheld information from each other while gang members have increased their networking opportunities. Ten years ago, the New Jersey Department of Corrections initiated a process that began to compete with the gang members network by operating in the same cyclical fashion as the gang activity. Information is collected from the inside and disseminated to the outside.

As gang activity continues to grow in the state, the department will proactively initiate and respond with new programs and available technology to share information with law enforcement. As more agencies become aware of these tools and work to coordinate their efforts, we expect to be constantly increasing the effectiveness of anti-gang initiatives.

Figure 4. The aerial photo (lower left) highlights where graffiti was found in the area called “Black Top”. The area outlined in red indicates graffiti related to the Bloods while the blue suggests Crip graffiti is present. The photo in upper left is Latin King graffiti. Photos to the side of the aerial indicate a Blood and Neta gang presence.

This article and these images were authorized by Melissa Johnson, crime analyst for the New Jersey Department of Corrections. She can be contacted via e-mail at Melissa.Johnson@doc.state.nj.us.
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**NEXT ISSUE**

The next issue of *Crime Mapping News* will be on how crime mapping is being integrated and applied in the area of homeland security and the results of our needs assessment.

If you are interested in contributing to the next issue or any future issue, please contact the Crime Mapping and Problem Analysis Laboratory at:

(202) 833-1460
or
jryan@policefoundation.org
individual gangs.” I immediately kicked Frank out of my office and started digitizing these polygons and attributing the data. I realized that in three weeks, with some difficult legwork, Frank was able to provide the type of information that would be extremely helpful to HIDTA and the law enforcement community. Now that I had the data, the question was, where do I go from here?

A new, non-traditional data set was created and the outlook for conducting analysis was positive. However, I needed to check the validity of these data, and I called Frank and asked him what definition he used for a gang when talking with the officers. This was important because I needed to know if he was collecting data on street gangs, youth gangs, biker gangs, or other types of gangs. In addition, how did he come up with his rating scale of 1-5 for propensity for violence? The main purpose of having Frank rehash his steps was to make sure that his data were accurate. After a few telephone calls, any minor inaccuracies were corrected.

The next issue to consider was the scale being used for the gang polygons. It was clear that some officers in certain districts had created smaller polygons than officers in other districts. Frank actually had to go back out one more time to the officers and point out some of the larger polygons. He specifically asked if the gang territories consisted of the entire polygon or if they could be broken up into two or more polygons. This scale issue became more apparent after the completion of this map when a lieutenant from a neighboring jurisdiction saw the map and requested a similar map for his jurisdiction. I followed the same procedures that I did with Frank, but when I received the map I noticed that the polygons were three times bigger on average than the polygons from Frank’s map. Two factors were at play here: the neighboring jurisdiction was larger geographically and the gangs tended to be spread out more geographically than one might find in an urban setting. Since the map was looking at the entire jurisdiction, I asked the lieutenant to go back and clarify his definition of a gang—with scale in mind—for each of the officers contributing to the map. For example, focusing on the entire jurisdiction, most of the officers generalized the extent and locations of the gang territories rather than being very specific about locations. This type of generalization can create possible problems, especially when trying to examine smaller geographic areas.

Another issue to consider is data sharing. When Frank saw the map that I created for the lieutenant, he immediately wanted to share his data with the lieutenant and he expected the same in return. The Lieutenant’s department, however, had a policy not to provide any information about gangs to anyone outside of the department. Since I had both data sets in my possession, I was able to display both jurisdictions’ gang data in one GIS. Immediately I was able to see cross-border relationships with the gangs, especially when I analyzed the attributes. The problem was that I was unable to share this information with either jurisdiction until the proper data-sharing protocols were established. After several weeks and three meetings, a brief memorandum of understanding was drawn and the two departments were given permission to view each other’s data. This is crucial when dealing with gangs because gang activity occurs with no regard for political boundaries. These data-sharing issues can occur between two different jurisdictions, but they can also occur within one jurisdiction where one district fails to share intelligence data with a neighboring district.

A final issue that we encountered was the sensitive nature of the gang data that we were accessing. Initially, I had asked to use the database of known gang members and overlay those data with the gang territory data. After being denied, I inquired as to why I could not access the data and discovered that the database included juveniles. For the type of analysis I wanted to do, I did not require any personal information (e.g., social security number) but simply the attributes contained within the data describing the person. I convinced the department to release the data with the name and personal information excluded. Next, I geocoded the records according to the address field. This example illustrates how it is often necessary for agencies to filter data prior to releasing them.

Once these issues were addressed, we were able to produce a series of analytical maps that were helpful to these two agencies. We overlaid gang-related crime, gang arrests, locations of gang members, gang territories, gang graffiti, gang hangouts, and other demographic data in a GIS, which allowed them to develop various initiatives to fight their respective gang problems. Notice that many of the data used in this GIS were not readily available when the project started. Additionally, much of the data collection involved collecting qualitative data about the nature and details of the gangs and their members. As stated earlier, “getting into the head of the officers” was critical to the success of this project, as was dealing with the key issues that arose along the way.

Joe Ryan is the Director of the Crime Mapping and Problem Analysis Laboratory. He can be contacted via e-mail at jryan@policefoundation.org.
### Upcoming Conferences and Training

**SEPTEMBER**

- **International Association of Crime Analysts Conference**
  - September 8 - 11, 2004
  - Seattle, WA

- **California Crime Analysts Conference**
  - September 15 - 17, 2004
  - Sacramento, CA
  - [www.crimeanalyst.org](http://www.crimeanalyst.org)

- **Mid America Regional Crime Analysis Network Annual Training Conference**
  - September 17, 2004
  - Kansas City, MO
  - [http://www.marcan.org/index.htm](http://www.marcan.org/index.htm)

- **International Association of Law Enforcement Planners (IALEP) Annual Training Conference**
  - September 19 - 24, 2004
  - Chicago, IL
  - [www.ialep.org](http://www.ialep.org)

**OCTOBER**

- **Analytical and Research Skills Training Course (IACA)**
  - October 18 - 22
  - Las Vegas, NV
  - [http://www.iaca.net/Certification/training.html](http://www.iaca.net/Certification/training.html)

- **Melbourne Center for Criminological Research and Evaluation: Crime Mapping and Analysis Seminar - Trends, Technology and Practice**
  - October 27 - 29, 2004
  - Melbourne, Australia

- **Problem Oriented Policing Conference**
  - October 28 - 30, 2004
  - Charlotte, NC
  - [http://www.popcenter.org/about-conference.htm](http://www.popcenter.org/about-conference.htm)

### GENERAL WEB RESOURCES FOR TRAINING SEMINARS AND CONFERENCES

- [http://giscenter.isu.edu/training/training.htm](http://giscenter.isu.edu/training/training.htm)
- [http://msdisweb.missouri.edu](http://msdisweb.missouri.edu)
- [www.actnowinc.org](http://www.actnowinc.org)
- [www.alphagroupcenter.com](http://www.alphagroupcenter.com)
- [www.cicp.org/gis.html](http://www.cicp.org/gis.html)
- [www.cops.usdoj.gov](http://www.cops.usdoj.gov)
- [www.esri.com/events](http://www.esri.com/events)
- [www.iaca.net/Certification/training.html](http://www.iaca.net/Certification/training.html)
- [www.ialeia.org](http://www.ialeia.org)
- [www.ialep.org](http://www.ialep.org)
- [www.mapinfo.com/events](http://www.mapinfo.com/events)
- [www.nijpcs.org/upcoming.htm](http://www.nijpcs.org/upcoming.htm)
- [www.nlectc.org/nlectcrm](http://www.nlectc.org/nlectcrm)
- [www.nsgic.org](http://www.nsgic.org)
- [www.urisa.org/meetings.htm](http://www.urisa.org/meetings.htm)

### EARLY REMINDERS!

- **International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP)**
  - November 13 - 17, 2004
  - Los Angeles, CA
  - [http://iACP.expoxchange.com/](http://iACP.expoxchange.com/)

- **American Society of Criminology**
  - November 17 - 20, 2004
  - Nashville, TN
  - [www.asc41.com](http://www.asc41.com)
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